

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/920,385 08/01/2001 Bruce F. Monzyk BAT 2 0001	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/920,385 08/01/2001 Bruce F. Monzyk BAT 2 0001		
	4393	
27885 7590 11/18/2002		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	EXAMINER	
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114 DEAK, L	DEAK, LESLIE R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3762		
	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,385	MONZYK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie R. Deak	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	ugust 2001 .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	<i>,</i> — •		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	\-(d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	.,		
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/920,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a device for oxygenating blood with a photolytic cell, classified in class 422, subclass 45.
 - II. Claims 18-28, drawn to a photolytic cell, classified in class 204, subclass193.
 - III. Claims 29-31, drawn to a gas sorption device that does not require a photolytic cell, classified in class 210, subclass 188.
 - IV. Claims 32-37, drawn to a method for oxygenating a solution with a photolytic device and binding the solution with the oxygen, classified in class 204, subclass 157.22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims drawn to the oxygenating device do not recite the particular construction of the photolytic cell as claimed later in the application. The subcombination has separate

Application/Control Number: 09/920,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

utility such as use in a photolysis apparatus other than an oxygenator, such as in a device for treating waste fluid.

- 3. Inventions in Group I and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the blood oxygenator as claimed does not recite the presence of the sorption device in the apparatus. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a conventional blood oxygenator or another apparatus that requires gas separation, such as fluid waste treatment devices.
- 4. Inventions in Group I and Group IV are related as apparatus for practice of a process, and the claimed process. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the claimed apparatus does not recite a device of means for binding the dissolved oxygen to the fluid in the device, nor does the device require a means for removing excess gas from the solution as claimed in the method. Thus, the process may be performed by another apparatus, and the apparatus as claimed may perform another process that does not involve binding oxygen and removing excess gas.

Art Unit: 3762

- 5. Inventions in Group II and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention drawn to the photolytic cell has separate utility such as use in a fluid waste treatment device that does not require removal of excess gas. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions in Group II and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the photolytic cell may be used in any device that requires photolysis of atomic compounds, such as fluid waste treatment devices. Further, the claims drawn to the photolytic cell do not recite limitations drawn to a gas sorption apparatus, so the process as claimed must be practiced by a different apparatus.
- 7. Inventions in Group III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the gas sorption device as claimed does not recite the presence of the photolytic cell, and can be used in a conventional blood oxygenator or another apparatus that requires removal of excess gas in a closed system.

Art Unit: 3762

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Ird // \ `` November 5, 2002

> ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angeli D. Apr